ABM Move Called 'Major Blunder'

By George C. Wilson Washington Post Staff Writer

dent Johnson's decision to tonight. build a missile defense was "the major blunder of his Administration," second only to his escalation of the Vietnam war, Sen. George S. McGovern (D-S.D.) charged tonight.

McGovern—a leading Senate dove just elected to another istration's portrayal of the term-predicted the anti-ballistic-missile (ABM) will cost the Nation \$50 billion instead of the advertised \$5 billion.

He said President-elect Nixon "could perform a major Secretary McNamara in an service to the American peo-election year." ple" if he called off the ABM -a step Nixon has shown no inclination to take.

McGovern participated Democratic Institutions.

The discussion, first since the presidential election, marks the resumption of the drive to halt the Sentinel ABM system now under construc-

Cooper (R-Ky.) hopes to question witnesses on the technical shortcomings of the system in a special hearing that had been promised by Richard B. Russell (D-Ga.), when he was chairman of the Sentee.

Kennedy, concentrated on the States must be prepared mili-said, "is that the manufacture

Wiesner said that "the defense is playing a losing game" he said. because offensive weapons can be varied to foil an ABM system. He said the ABM now under construction does not it is not certain offensive mis-make "common sense." it is not certain offensive mis-siles would get through them.

As for the Johnson Admin-Sentinel ABM as a safeguard against an irrational attack by Red China, Wiesner scoffed that "this was a bad joke perpetrated by Mr. Johnson and

During his critique of the ABM, Wiesner disclosed that the U.S. would rely heavily on in what he called "X-ray kill" to an ABM debate sponsored by destroy an incoming enemy the Center for the Study of warhead. The X-rays would warhead. The X-rays would come from the explosion of the preoccupation with ideas of defending missile's nuclear warhead.

Under the Nike Zeus system, discarded in favor of the Sentinel ABM, the defending missiles relied most heavily on litical bankruptcy which The focus is expected to neutrons penetrating inside of the Senate early next year. Sen. John Sherman Cooper (R-Kv.) hopes to quest the enemy warhead. But Wiesland war—"a bilateral state of mind cooper (R-Kv.) hopes to quest the enemy warhead of the enemy warhead arranged by Stalin and True defending missiles - because arranged by Stalin and Truthe neutrons did not travel very far—tthat the Pentagon United States have concen-put more emphasis on the trated "on many false targets." put more emphasis on the X-ray kill technique.

ate Armed Services Committin favor of the ABM were retired Air Force Gen. Leon W. with an anti-ballistic missile Dr. Jerome Wiesner, former Johnson, former head of the defense—a decision announced science adviser to President Continental Air Command, by former Defense Secretary and Dr. Donald G. Brennan of McNamara on Sept. 18, 1967, the Hudson Institute.

Johnson said the United

ABM's technical problems tarily across the board. Our of these systems of missile de-NEW YORK, Nov. 19-Presi-during the panel discussion military posture cannot be fense will make the militarycomplete until a defense industrial complex rich, will against missiles is established, result in the production of he said.

fensive missile systems had survival." advanced to the point where siles would get through them.

Strong Speech

The panel discussion was shared by Justice William O. Douglas of the Supreme Court. He made a strong speech of his own in introducing the ABM subject.

His main theme was that only "the rule of law" not "the rule of force"—can save "the species now confronted with the stark prospect of obliteration."

national power and supremacy are more than antiquated . . . the ticking of the H-bomb should make us hurry to escape the paralysis of the po-

He said that in today's cold war—"a bilateral state of mind man" the best brains in the

One result of this, Douglas Two panelists who argued said, is the Johnson Adminisand under attack ever since.

"My lay judgment," Douglas

Brennan argued that de- be meaningless in terms of